

Polynomials III - Cubics Revisited With Group Theory

Yan Tao

March 2022

1 Complex Conjugation and Polynomials

Recall that complex conjugation was defined by $\overline{a - bi} = a - bi$ and $\overline{re^{i\theta}} = re^{-i\theta}$.

Problem 1 Prove that

- $\overline{z + w} = \bar{z} + \bar{w}$

Solution: It is more convenient to use rectangular form, so let $z = a + bi$ and $w = c + di$:

$$\overline{z + w} = \overline{a + c + (b + d)i} = a + c - (b + d)i = (a - bi) + (c - di) = \bar{z} + \bar{w}$$

- $\overline{\bar{z}} = z$

It is more convenient to use polar form, so let $z = re^{i\theta}$ and $w = se^{i\phi}$:

$$\overline{\bar{z}} = \overline{rse^{i(\theta+\phi)}} = rse^{-i(\theta+\phi)} = re^{-i\theta}se^{-i\phi} = \bar{z}$$

- For any real number x , $\bar{x} = x$.

A real number x can be written as $x + 0i$, so clearly $\bar{x} = x - 0i = x$.

Problem 2 Let $p(x) = a_n x^n + \dots + a_0$ be a polynomial in real coefficients and let the complex number z be a root. Using the previous problem, prove that \bar{z} is also a root of p .

Solution: If z is a root of p , then $a_n z^n + \dots + a_0 = 0$. Taking the complex conjugate of both sides of the equation gives $\overline{a_n z^n + \dots + a_0} = 0$, and using Problem 1, the left-hand side can be rewritten as $\bar{a}_n \bar{z}^n + \dots + \bar{a}_0$. Since all the coefficients are real, by Problem 1 they are their own complex conjugates, so $0 = a_n \bar{z}^n + \dots + a_0 = p(\bar{z})$, and therefore \bar{z} is also a root of p .

2 Extensions of the Rational Numbers

Recall that we defined the complex numbers by adding $i = \sqrt{-1}$ and defined addition and multiplication by treating it as a variable. This process is called *adjunction*, and we call the resulting number system the reals with i *adjoined*, and we write this $\mathbb{R}(i)$. Of course, $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{R}(i)$ by definition.

This is an example of what is more generally called an *extension* of the reals. Because every complex number can be written as a sum of two things with real coefficients ($a + bi$), the complex numbers are said to be a *degree 2* extension of the reals. Degree 2 extensions are also called *quadratic extensions*, and degree 3 extensions are called *cubic extensions*, and so on.

Unfortunately, the complex numbers are really the only interesting extension of the reals. But there are many interesting extensions of the rational numbers \mathbb{Q} , so let us focus on that.

Problem 3 Show that $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ is a degree 2 extension of the rationals.

Solution: Because $(\sqrt{2})^2$ is rational, any $x \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ can be written as $x = a + b\sqrt{2}$.

Problem 4 Show that $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})$ is a degree 3 extension of the rationals. (Hint: When trying to multiply things in this number system, you will get $(\sqrt[3]{2})^2$. Can this be written as a sum of 1 and $\sqrt[3]{2}$, with **rational coefficients**?)

Solution: Because $(\sqrt[3]{2})^3$ is rational, any $x \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})$ can be written as $x = a + b\sqrt[3]{2} + c\sqrt[3]{4}$. But $\sqrt[3]{4}$ cannot be written in terms of 1 and $\sqrt[3]{2}$ in rational coefficients, so all three terms are needed.

In Problem 1, we saw that the function $f(z) = \bar{z}$ satisfies:

$$f(z + w) = f(z) + f(w)$$

$$f(zw) = f(z)f(w)$$

$$f(x) = x \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}$$

We can define similar operations over the rationals:

Definition 1 Let K be an extension of \mathbb{Q} and $f : K \rightarrow K$ be a function. f is an **automorphism of K over \mathbb{Q}** if all of the following hold:

- f is a bijection.
- $f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$ for all $x, y \in K$
- $f(xy) = f(x)f(y)$ for all $x, y \in K$
- $f(x) = x$ for all rational numbers x

Denote the set of automorphisms of K over \mathbb{Q} by $\text{Aut}(K/\mathbb{Q})$.

It is easy to see that $f(z) = \bar{z}$ is also a bijection. So complex conjugation is an example of an *automorphism of \mathbb{C} over \mathbb{R}* . In this worksheet, we will focus on automorphisms over \mathbb{Q} .

Problem 5 Show that for any extension K of \mathbb{Q} , $\text{Aut}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ is a group under the operation of composition. In particular, find the identity element.

Solution: Composition of functions is associative. The identity function is always an automorphism of K over \mathbb{Q} , and it satisfies the identity axiom (check this!). Finally, whenever f is an automorphism of K over \mathbb{Q} , then f is a bijection so f^{-1} exists and is a bijection, so to show that f^{-1} is also an automorphism of K over \mathbb{Q} , consider

$$f(f^{-1}(x)) + f(f^{-1}(y)) = x + y = f(f^{-1}(x + y)) \text{ so that } f^{-1}(x + y) = f^{-1}(x) + f^{-1}(y) \text{ since } f \text{ is a bijection.}$$

and similarly for the other conditions.

Problem 6 Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$. Show that $f(a + b\sqrt{2}) = a - b\sqrt{2}$ is an automorphism of K over \mathbb{Q} .

Solution: Let $x = a + b\sqrt{2}$ and $y = c + d\sqrt{2}$ as in Problem 4, and check the algebra similarly to Problem 1.

We shall revisit $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})$ later, as it's quite a bit more complicated.

3 Splitting Fields and Galois Groups

Recall last quarter we defined polynomials which are *irreducible*, *reducible*, or *split*:

Definition 2 Let p be a **non-constant** polynomial with coefficients in \mathbb{C} (respectively, \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{Q})

- p is **reducible over** \mathbb{C} (respectively, over \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{Q}) if it is divisible by a polynomial q over \mathbb{C} (respectively, over \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{Q}), where q is not constant and also has smaller degree than p .
- p is **irreducible over** \mathbb{C} (respectively, over \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{Q}) if it is not reducible over \mathbb{C} (respectively, over \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{Q}).
- p **splits over** \mathbb{C} (respectively, over \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{Q}) if it factors into linear factors over \mathbb{C} (respectively, over \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{Q}); i.e. if there exist r_1, \dots, r_n all in \mathbb{C} (respectively, \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{Q}) such that $p(x) = (x - r_1)\dots(x - r_n)$.

The same definitions still make sense over any extension of a number system, of course. But even though every polynomial splits over \mathbb{C} (the Fundamental Theorem of Algebra), we don't need every complex number to be able to split a polynomial.

Definition 3 Given a polynomial p in rational coefficients, a **splitting field** of p is a minimal-degree extension of the rational numbers over which p splits.

Often (not always, but it will be the case in every example we'll see), minimal degree will mean that we adjoin as few things as possible so that we account for all roots of p . Since the Fundamental Theorem of Algebra says that every polynomial splits over the complex numbers, we will only need to adjoin complex numbers.

Problem 7 Show that $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ is a splitting field of $x^2 - 2$. (Hint: To show minimality, consider what a degree 1 extension is.)

Solution: $x^2 - 2$ splits as $(x - \sqrt{2})(x + \sqrt{2})$ in $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}]$. Since the only degree 1 extension of \mathbb{Q} is \mathbb{Q} itself, over which $x^2 - 2$ doesn't split, $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}]$ is minimal.

Definition 4 When p is irreducible over the rationals, the group of automorphisms of its splitting field K over \mathbb{Q} is called its **Galois group** and is denoted $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$, or sometimes just $\text{Gal}(p)$, instead of $\text{Aut}(K/\mathbb{Q})$.

Let $p(x) = a_n x^n + \dots + a_0$ be a degree n irreducible polynomial over \mathbb{Q} (in particular, all the coefficients a_n, \dots, a_0 have to be rational). Take a splitting field K , and let's take a look at what $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ does to p .

Problem 8 Let f be an automorphism of K over \mathbb{Q} .

- Show that if $x \in K$ is a root of p , then so is $f(x)$.

Solution: The proof is identical to Problem 2.

- Show that the restriction of f to the roots (that is, $f : \{\text{roots of } p\} \rightarrow \{\text{roots of } p\}$) is a bijection.

Solution: Since f is a bijection, any restriction of f is still a bijection onto its image. This can also be proven manually by checking injective and surjective.

- Show that there are at most $n!$ different automorphisms of K over \mathbb{Q} . (Hint: How many roots does p have? Because K is of minimal degree by definition, f should be uniquely determined by what it does to the roots of p - try to see why this is the case!)

Solution: By the previous part, the restriction of f to the roots of p is a permutation of the roots of p . Since nothing was adjoined not depending on the roots (by minimality), every permutation of the roots gives a unique automorphism of K over \mathbb{Q} (accept any argument which resembles this, we don't need to be too formal).

- Show that $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ is a subgroup of the permutation group S_n .

Solution: By the previous part, $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ is a subset of S_n , and by Problem 5 it is also a group under composition, so it is a subgroup.

4 Examples of Galois Groups

Problem 9 Prove that every irreducible quadratic has Galois group $\mathbb{Z}/2$.

Proof: By Problem 8, the Galois group of every irreducible quadratic is a subgroup of $S_2 = \mathbb{Z}/2$. By irreducibility, the quadratic's splitting field is larger than \mathbb{Q} , so there is a nontrivial automorphism of the form in Problem 6. (Explicitly, it is $a + b\sqrt{\Delta} \mapsto a - b\sqrt{\Delta}$, where Δ is the discriminant of the quadratic.) Therefore the Galois group is $\mathbb{Z}/2$ since it's not trivial.

Next week we will learn some tricks for computing Galois groups, but for cubics the guess-and-check strategy will suffice.

Problem 10 Show that $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})$ is not a splitting field for $x^3 - 2$. What else can we adjoin to make this a splitting field?

Solution: $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})$ doesn't contain $\zeta\sqrt[3]{2}$ where ζ is a (primitive) cube root of unity. A splitting field for $x^3 - 2$ is $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2}, \zeta)$.

Problem 11 Show that the Galois group of $x^3 - 2$ is S_3 . (Hint: Find all roots of $x^3 - 2$ in the complex numbers, and consider all permutations of these roots. Does there exist a $f \in \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ which gives these permutations?)

Solution: The three roots are $\sqrt[3]{2}, \zeta\sqrt[3]{2}, \zeta^2\sqrt[3]{2}$, so label these 1, 2, 3. For each permutation (written in cycle notation):

e corresponds to the identity

(12) corresponds to $\sqrt[3]{2} \mapsto \zeta\sqrt[3]{2}, \zeta \mapsto \zeta^2$

(13) corresponds to $\sqrt[3]{2} \mapsto \zeta^2\sqrt[3]{2}, \zeta \mapsto \zeta^2$

(23) corresponds to complex conjugation

(123) corresponds to $\sqrt[3]{2} \mapsto \zeta\sqrt[3]{2}, \zeta \mapsto \zeta$

(132) corresponds to $\sqrt[3]{2} \mapsto \zeta^2\sqrt[3]{2}, \zeta \mapsto \zeta$

Problem 12 Find a splitting field for $8x^3 - 6x + 1$ (you'll have to trust me - this is irreducible!). (Hint: Show that if a is a root, then $2a^2 - 1$ and $-2a^2 - a + 1$ are the other roots.)

Solution: Following the hint, we see that $8x^3 - 6x + 1 = (x - a)(x - 2a^2 + 1)(x + 2a^2 + a - 1)$, so a splitting field is $\mathbb{Q}(a)$, where $a^3 \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Problem 13 Show that the Galois group of $8x^3 - 6x + 1$ is $\mathbb{Z}/3$.

Solution: Every automorphism of $\mathbb{Q}(a)$ is uniquely determined by what it does to a , so the only possible ones are $a \mapsto a$, $a \mapsto 2a^2 - 1$, and $a \mapsto -2a^2 - a + 1$. Since a^3 is rational, these are seen to be the permutations $e, (123), (132)$, respectively, which form the subgroup $\mathbb{Z}/3$ of S_3 .

Problem 14 Show that the only possible Galois groups for an irreducible cubic are $\mathbb{Z}/3$ and S_3 (Hint: Use the cubic formula - we know none of the roots can be rational.)

Solution: Let u, v be the numbers such that the roots of the cubic are $u + v, \zeta u + \zeta^2 v, \zeta^2 u + \zeta v$. Recall from last quarter that none of the roots can be rational, so that in particular u and v cannot be rational. The work we did last quarter also shows that $v \in \mathbb{Q}(u)$ and vice versa, so the splitting field of any irreducible cubic is at least as large as $\mathbb{Q}(u)$. This has the automorphisms identity, $u \mapsto \zeta u$, and $u \mapsto \zeta^2 u$ (and potentially more), where these correspond to the permutations $e, (123), (132)$, so these permutations are always in the Galois group, and therefore the Galois group has to be $\mathbb{Z}/3$ or S_3 .

Problem 15 Under what circumstances is the Galois group $\mathbb{Z}/3$ or S_3 ?

Solution: This is meant to be an exploratory question. The "answer" is that the Galois group is $\mathbb{Z}/3$ when the discriminant of the cubic is a perfect square, and S_3 otherwise.