

ORMC Olympiad Group 2022
Winter Week 2 Solutions

Sumith Nalabolu

January 21, 2022

Solution 1

Consider the following $n - 1$ numbers modulo n :

$$a, 2a, \dots, (n - 1)a.$$

If some two of these are equal, say, $ia \equiv ja \pmod{n}$, then $a(i - j) \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$. Since $\gcd(a, n) = 1$, this implies $i - j \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$. However, i, j are distinct integers from 1 to $n - 1$, so this is not possible. Hence the $n - 1$ numbers in the set above are distinct modulo n .

These are all also clearly numbers from 1 to $n - 1$ when taken modulo n (i.e. cannot be 0), so they are in fact $1, \dots, n - 1$ in some order. Thus, one of them must be b . That is, there exists some x such that $ax \equiv b \pmod{n}$. \square

Solution 2

It suffices to find x such that $ax \equiv 1 \pmod{b}$. By the result of the previous problem, this is possible. \square

Solution 3

For $a \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, it is obvious. Now, consider a with $\gcd(a, p) = 1$. Consider the set of the $p - 1$ numbers

$$\{a, 2a, \dots, (p - 1)a\}.$$

If some $ia \equiv ja \pmod{p}$ for $i \neq j$, then we have $a(i - j) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. But since i, j are distinct and from 1 to $p - 1$, clearly $i - j \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. So this would contradict the fact that $\gcd(a, p) = 1$. Thus, the above set consists of $p - 1$ distinct numbers modulo p . These must in fact be $1, 2, \dots, p - 1$ in some order. So,

$$(a)(2a)(\dots)((p - 1)a) \equiv (1)(2)(\dots)(p - 1) \pmod{p} \implies a^{p-1}(p - 1)! \equiv (p - 1)! \pmod{p}.$$

Since clearly $\gcd(p, (p - 1)!) = 1$, we can then conclude $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, as desired. \square

Solution 4

Note that by sum of cubes factorization,

$$n^3 + 100 = n^3 + 1000 - 900 = (n + 10)(n^2 - 10n + 10) - 900.$$

In particular, $n^3 + 100 \equiv -900 \pmod{(n + 10)}$. This means $n + 10 \mid 900$. Then it is clear that the largest possible value of n is $\boxed{890}$. \square

Solution 5

The given expression is similar to $(n - 10)^3 = n^3 - 30n^2 + 300n - 100$; in fact, note that

$$n^3 - 30n^2 + 200 \equiv n^3 - 30n^2 + 300n + 200 - 300 \equiv (n - 10)^3 \pmod{300}.$$

So, $300 \mid (n - 10)^3$. This does not imply that $300 \mid (n - 10)$, since 300 is not prime. Instead, since $300 = 2^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$, note that $2^2 \mid (n - 10)^3 \implies 2 \mid (n - 10)$, and so on; thus, $2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \mid (n - 10)$ is the equivalent condition. Then there are $\boxed{17}$ possible n , i.e. $30(0) + 10, \dots, 30(16) + 10$. \square

Solution 6

The difference of the two expressions must also be divisible by p ; that is, $p \mid 2n + 1$, so $2n \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$. By the first given condition, we have

$$n^2 \equiv -3 \pmod{p} \implies 4n^2 \equiv -12 \pmod{p}.$$

But then note that $4n^2 \equiv (2n)^2 \equiv (-1)^2 \pmod{p}$, so

$$1 \equiv -12 \pmod{p} \implies 13 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Thus $p \mid 13 \implies p = 13$ is the only solution. Indeed, $n = 6$ works with this p , so $p = \boxed{13}$. \square

Solution 7

First we will consider a general term of the form $\binom{p-3}{k}$ where p is a prime. Note that we can write this as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(p-3)!}{k!(p-3-k)!} &= \frac{(1)(2)(\dots)(p-3)}{(1)(2)(\dots)(k)(1)(2)(\dots)(p-3-k)} \\ &\equiv \frac{(k+1)(\dots)(p-3)}{(p-1)(p-2)(\dots)(k+3)(-1)^{p-3-k}} \\ &\equiv \frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{(-1)(-2)(-1)^{p-3-k}} \pmod{p}. \end{aligned}$$

The simplifications made were cancelling terms (which is allowed since p is prime, and thus relatively prime to all of the positive integers less than it) and converting i to $-(p-i)$. Then, since p is odd this is just $\frac{(k+1)(k+2)(-1)^k}{2} \pmod{p}$. So, now we must simply evaluate

$$\sum_{k=0}^{62} \frac{(k+1)(k+2)(-1)^k}{2} \pmod{2017}.$$

Now, note that $\frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2} = 1 + 2 + \dots + (k+1)$. Thus, for odd k ,

$$\frac{(k+1)(k+2)(-1)^k}{2} + \frac{(k+2)(k+3)(-1)^{k+1}}{2} = -(1+2+\dots+k+1) + (1+2+\dots+k+2) = k+2.$$

Hence the sum is simply the sum of odd numbers

$$1 + 3 + 5 + \dots + 61 = 32^2 \equiv \boxed{1024} \pmod{17}.$$

\square

Solution 8

Note that $111 = 3 \cdot 37$. In particular, this gives that $37 \mid 999$, so $1000 \equiv 1 \pmod{37}$. This motivates splitting the number into three digit numbers and summing those. If we let the number be $\overline{a231b312c}$, then it is equivalent to

$$\overline{a23} + \overline{1b3} + \overline{12c} \equiv (100a + 10b + c) + 246 \pmod{37}.$$

This must be $0 \pmod{37}$, so simplifying gives $\overline{abc} \equiv 13 \pmod{37}$. So we must find the number of three digit numbers equivalent to 13 modulo 37, and each of these will correspond to one choice of the digits a, b, c . These numbers are $37(3) + 13, \dots, 37(26) + 13$, for a total of $\boxed{24}$ numbers. \square

Solution 9

Note that $100 \equiv 1 \pmod{99}$; thus any $100^k \equiv 1 \pmod{99}$. So we can split the number into two digit numbers and then add those, and the result will be equivalent to the original number. Thus, we want the smallest two digit n such that $12 + 13 + \dots + n \equiv 0 \pmod{99}$. Equivalently, this is the sum of 1 to n minus the sum of 1 to 11, so

$$\frac{n(n+1) - 11(12)}{2} \equiv 0 \pmod{99} \iff n(n+1) - 11(12) \equiv 0 \pmod{99}.$$

(This is true since $\gcd(2, 99) = 1$). The left side factors, so we have $(n-11)(n+12) \equiv 0 \pmod{99}$. Now note that $99 = 9 \cdot 11$, and since 11 is prime this implies n is either 0 or -1 modulo 11. Testing these values (they must also be either 2 or 6 modulo 9) starting with 21 gives that $n = \boxed{33}$ is the smallest that works. \square

Solution 10

Note that $p = 2$ does not work, and that $p = 3$ does work. Now for $p > 3$, we consider the numbers modulo 3. Clearly $p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. If $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, then $p^2 + p + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ and thus cannot be prime. But if $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, then $p + 10 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ and thus cannot be prime. So, no $p > 3$ can work. Thus the answer is $\boxed{3}$. \square

Solution 11

Let the n numbers be a_1, \dots, a_n . Then, consider the n sums

$$s_1 = a_1, \quad s_2 = a_1 + a_2, \quad \dots, \quad s_n = a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n.$$

That is, s_i is the sum of a_1 through a_i . When taken modulo n , these are all numbers from 0 to $n-1$. If any one of them is 0, then we are done. If none of them are 0, then this is a set of n numbers between 1 and $n-1$. Thus (by pigeonhole principle) there must exist some two of them equal; that is, $s_i = s_j$ for some $i < j$. Then note that $s_j - s_i \equiv a_{i+1} + \dots + a_j \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$, as desired. \square

Solution 12

Note that 109 is prime. Then, by FLT (Fermat's little thm), $k^{108} \equiv k \pmod{109}$ for each $k = 2, 3, 6$. Hence $k^{107} \equiv \frac{1}{k} \pmod{109}$. Then,

$$2^{107} + 3^{107} + 6^{107} \equiv \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{6} \equiv \boxed{1} \pmod{109}.$$

\square

Solution 13

The answer is $\boxed{\text{no}}$. Consider any number consisting of these numbers in some order. Then it will be equal

to $\sum_{i=1}^{2008} (i^{a_i})(10^{a_i})$ where the $a_i \geq 0$, i.e. the sum of the i^{a_i} times some power of 10. Now take this expression

modulo 3. Since $10 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, we can ignore the powers of 10, so it is equivalent to $\sum_{i=1}^{2008} i^{a_i}$.

Now note that any $a^3 \equiv a \pmod{3}$; in particular, this means $a^k \equiv a \pmod{3}$ for any odd k , and $a^k \equiv a^2 \pmod{3}$ for even k . Hence the expression is

$$\sum_{i=1}^{1004} (2i-1) + \sum_{i=1}^{1004} (2i)^2.$$

The first term is the sum of the first 1004 odd integers and thus equals 1004^2 . For the second term, take out the factor of 4 from each term and then use sum of squares; so, the expression is

$$1004^2 + (4) \frac{(1004)(1005)(2009)}{6} \equiv 2^2 + 2(1004)(335)(2009) \equiv 1 + 2(2)(2)(2) \equiv 2 \pmod{3}.$$

But no square can be $2 \pmod{3}$, so this expression can never be a perfect square. \square

Solution 14

Consider the equation modulo 81. For $n \geq 9$, we have that $81 \mid n!$. Also, note that by computation,

$$\sum_{i=1}^8 i! \equiv 63 \pmod{81}.$$

In particular, this means that for $n = 8$, the left side of the equation is $81j + 63$, and as noted above, this will in fact still be some $81j + 63$ for any $n \geq 8$ because we will only add multiples of 81.

But this means that for $n \geq 8$, the equation is $81j + 63 = m^k \implies 9(9j + 7) = m^k$. Note that the highest power of 3 dividing the left side is 3^2 , which implies $k \leq 2$ (since $3 \mid m^k \implies 3 \mid m$, so then $k \leq 2$). It is given that $k \geq 2$, so $k = 2$.

Then, the solutions (see problem 5) are $(n, m) = (3, 3), (1, 1)$, so the answer is $\boxed{(3, 3, 2), (1, 1, k), k \geq 2}$ (we can easily verify that there exist no solutions with other k for $n \leq 7$). \square

Solution 15

Will be posted later classes.